## **DOCKET NUMBER 32-2**

TELEPHONE (619) 232-1700 · TELECOPIER (619) 544-9095

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
1
    L. Scott Keehn (61691)
    Sarah H. Lanham (213555)
 2
    ROBBINS & KEEHN, APC
    A Professional Corporation
    530 "B" Street, Suite 2400
 3
    San Diego, California 92101
 4
    Telephone: (619) 232-1700
 5
    Attorneys for Petitioning Creditor
    ALAN STANLY
 6
 7
 8
                         UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 9
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
    In Re:
                                             Case No. 05-05926-PBINV
11
12
                                             Involuntary Chapter 7
    FRANCIS J. LOPEZ, an individual,
13
                                             DECLARATION OF L. SCOTT KEEHN IN
                Alleged Debtor.
                                             OPPOSITION TO MOTION BY ALLEGED
                                             DEBTOR FOR AN ORDER BIFURCATING
14
                                             TRIAL RE INVOLUNTARY PETITION;
                                             SETTING DEADLINE TO ADD NEW
15
                                             PETITION CREDITORS; AND
                                             REQUIRING THE POSTING OF A BOND
16
17
                                             Date:
                                                          December 19, 2005
18
                                             Time:
                                                          10:00 a.m.
                                                          Hon. Peter W. Bowie
                                             Judge:
19
                                                          Four (4)
                                             Dept.:
20
21
          1.
                I am an attorney at law, licensed to practice law in the State of California, and
22
```

admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. I am a shareholder of the firm of Robbins & Keehn, APC, the attorneys of record for Alan Stanly ("Stanly"), petitioning creditor in the above-entitled proceedings. I am the Shareholder in Charge of the firm's engagement on behalf of Mr. Stanly, and make the following declaration based upon facts within my first hand knowledge, acquired during the performance of activities that were within the course and scope of the firm's engagement on behalf of Stanly. ///

5

6

13

14

ROBBINS & KEEHN, APC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2400 UNION BANK BULLIDING - 5380 "B" STREET SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA, 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 232-1700 · TELECOPIER (619) 544-9095

20

26

2. On September 19, 2005, Francis J. Lopez, the alleged debtor herein ("Lopez"), filed his "Declaration of Francis J. Lopez Re Creditors" (the "Lopez Decl.", Docket Item No. 25), in which he purports to identify a total of 22 creditors who hold claims which are neither contingent or subject to a bona fide dispute (other than the Stanly Judgment which Lopez has appealed), nor are they claims of employees and insiders.

- 3. Upon receiving the Lopez Declaration, as Stanly's counsel I caused to be issued subpoenas to twelve of the alleged creditors listed in therein (i.e. where the addresses listed were sufficient to effectuate service), and the discovery process is ongoing. The purpose of Stanly's discovery is to determine whether, in fact, these additional, newly-disclosed claims meet the criteria set forth in §303(b). In order to qualify, each of these creditor's claims must be: (i) noncontingent; (ii) not the subject of a bona fide dispute; (iii) not held by an entity that is a transferee of a transfer that is voidable under sections 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, or 725(a); and (iv) not held by an employee or insider of Lopez.
- Stanly's discovery is intended to facilitate the determination of whether each of these claims actually existed at the time the petition was filed. A number of the claims appear to be for services which are typically paid in advance. For example, Lopez has listed Verizon Wireless as holding a claim for \$45.00. This appears to be the amount due for one months basic services under a normal cell phone contract. Typically, these fees are paid in advance of the services actually being rendered. Accordingly, as the services have not yet been provided, an actual claim has not yet been created. I believes that discovery will confirm that Verizon's alleged "claim" does not meet the criteria of §303(b)(2).
- 5. Stanly's discovery is also intended to disclose whether any of these claims are subject to being voided pursuant to the provisions cited in §303(b)(2), particularly the avoidance provisions regarding preferences under §547. While Lopez contends that none of his creditors received payments that were outside the ordinary coarse of business, this assertion is an improper legal conclusion that must be subjected to the rigorous test required by the Bankruptcy Code. The only way to do that is to allow Stanly to complete his discovery.

28 /// 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

|  | 6.       | Finally, American Express, one of the creditors identified in the Lopez Declaration |
|--|----------|---|
| has res  | sponded  | to Stanly's subpoena by sending a letter stating that they have no documentation    |
| which is responsive to the subpoenas — that there is nothing that supports any claim against |          |   |
| Lopez  | . The le | tter from American Express is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".                       |

7. I had originally intended to serve written discovery on Francis Lopez without waiting to receive documents from the various putative creditors upon whom document subpoenas had been served. However, upon reflection, I realize that the scope of discovery required for Mr. Lopez might well be narrowed, or the focus re-directed, based upon a review of the documents produced in response to the various subpoenas. Accordingly, the game plan changed. We now have documentation from some of the entities upon whom the subpoenas were served, and are in the process of enforcing subpoenas as to the others. Accordingly, we are now issuing our preliminary written discovery upon the alleged debtor.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th day of December, 2005, at San Diego, California.

Dated: December 5, 2005 **ROBBINS & KEEHN** A Professional Corporation

> By: Scott Keehn

## **EXHIBIT A**



Cards
American Express Cards
777 American Expressway
Ft. Lauderdala, Ft. 33337

October 19, 2005

L. Scott Keehn

L. Scott Keehn 530 B Street Suite 2400 San Diego, CA 92101

RE: Francis J. Lopez

Our File No: 05285GIM3263415

Dear Sir / Madam:

Please be advised that American Express Travel Related Services, Company, Inc. / American Express Centurion Bank is unable to comply with the above referenced subpoena request for the following reason(s):

• American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. does not have records responsive to the subpoena request

If we can be of further assistance please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Linda Y Salas, Subpoena Correspondent Assistant to the Custodian of Records

(954) 503-7001 ext. 65943

INSFLTR